



Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/22 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0

Central Intelligence Agency



### DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

15 November 1985

Soviet Policy on Jewish Emigration--A Change in the Offing?

#### SUMMARY

In the run-up to the US-Soviet meeting in Geneva, the Soviet regime has been taking a two-track approach on Jewish emigration and other human rights issues. In public and diplomatic channels the regime has attempted to warn the US away from the issue altogether.

Gorbachev has sharply criticized US human rights performance, insisting the USSR has nothing to be ashamed about on the issue and publicly claiming that Jews have more rights in the USSR than anywhere. He is said to be compiling a list of alleged US violations to be used at Geneva.

Soviet interlocutors have passed the word that Gorbachev does not want to discuss Jewish emigration in Geneva, while Soviet diplomats

This memorandum was	prepared by	the Office of Soviet	25X1
	and questions may ef, Domestic Polic	y be directed to the cy Division	25 <b>X</b> 1

25X1

SOVA M 85-10201X

25X1

25X1

have been instructed to indicate to their Western contacts that a US approach on human rights is futile and a waste of time.

On the other hand the regime has used back channel messengers

25X1

to hint that a policy shift on emigration is being considered by Gorbachev and that he will make other gestures on human rights to create a better atmosphere in Geneva.

The regime took the unusual step in late January to begin a dialogue on the emigration issue with officials of the World Jewish Congress and, indirectly, the Peres government in Israel.

25X1

Gorbachev might be willing to allow freer Jewish emigration and possibly the start of direct emigration flights to Israel.

Yelena Bonner, a Jewish dissident and wife of Andrey Sakharov, evidently will be allowed abroad for medical treatment and a Soviet told the US Embassy in Moscow that dissident refuseniks Anatoliy Shcharanskiy, Ida Nudel and "others" will be freed as a "humanitarian gesture" by Gorbachev.

These officially inspired hints of a forthcoming change have achieved at least some short term gain for the Soviet regime.

The promise of concessions itself has bought gestures of goodwill from the World Jewish Congress—toning down criticism of the Soviets—and the Peres government is interested in the suggestion the USSR could participate in an international forum on the Arab-Israeli question.

Dangling the prospect of direct and higher emigration and hinting at other moves on human rights helps Moscow's argument that human rights should be excluded from the Geneva discussions.

Public diplomacy aside, Gorbachev probably calculates that there are gains in allowing direct flights of greater numbers of Soviet Jews to Israel. Given the recent low levels of Jewish emigration—about a thousand a year since 1980—he can agree to a substantial increase without coming close to the high emigration rates of the mid-to-late 1970s.

Direct Soviet Jewish emigration to Israel might even be seen as a way to drive a wedge between US and Israeli perspectives on emigration and other issues.

2

Higher Jewish emigration holds the possibility that Jewish support for restrictions on US-Soviet trade--e.g., Jackson-Vanik--will be eroded and that Jewish lobbying on behalf of Soviet Jews will decrease.

The regime may calculate that allowing for greater emigration and some concessions on other human rights issues will preempt international critics and make it easier to move on dissidents in the future.

So far, there have been no concrete, overt steps undertaken by Gorbachev to alter Soviet emigration policy. The reason likely is tied to their uncertainty about US-Soviet relations and the impact of such moves on Soviet-Arab relations. Moscow almost certainly understands that there would be strong Arab protests--as there were in the late 1970s--against increased Jewish emigration to Israel. Gorbachev, moreover, rejects direct US efforts to pressure him on this issue, and may believe that US interest on emigration gives him some potential leverage. He probably does not yet know whether playing this card will be worth the effort. He undoubtedly assumes the issue will come up in his meeting with the President, and preparing to counter attack aggressively. At the same time, however, he is likely to use the occasion to assess what long term gains can be realized by showing more flexibility.

Background	
The Soviet regime's decisions on emigration have typically been governed by policy considerations of the momentboth foreign and domestic. In the early 1970s, for example, the regime determined that freer emigration would give impetus to a broad array of political and economic issues with the United Statessuch as the SALT I agreement and the commitment to increase bilateral trade.	25X <sup>-</sup>
Despite recognizing the linkage of emigration to these issues, however, the Soviets were not willing to accept US efforts publicly to tie the two. They quickly denounced the Jackson-Vanik and Stevenson Amendments to the US Trade Act, which required a high level of Jewish emigration as a condition for extending most-favored-nation treatment and gaining credits, as unacceptable interference in the USSR's internal affairs. To make the point, the number of Israeli exit visas was quickly cut; by 1975 emigration was only about one-third of that in 1973. In the late 1970s, however, despite stepped up harrassment, arrests, and trials of prominent Jewish dissidents such as Anatoliy Shcharanskiy, the regime allowed the rate of Jewish emigration to rise dramatically. By 1979 it had reached an alltime high of 50,460 visas issued. Moscow may have thought freer emigration would help conclude a SALT II agreement and be particularly beneficial in the ratification process. The Soviet were also pushing for increased trade with the United States, and easing emigration may have been intended to forestall problems with US policymakers who had earlier linked trade and emigration. It is also possible that the regime saw this as a way to clear out some of its perceived troublemakers while getting credit for it abroad.	25X <sup>-</sup>
By 1980 Moscow's calculations had evidently changed. The Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, the US refusal to ratify SALT II, the Olympic boycott and the suspension of cultural and scientific exchanges led to a sharp deterioration in relations. With nothing to gain from using emigration as an inducement, emigration plummeted for Jews, Armenians and Germans. According to US Government and United Nations statistics, the 1980 high of 6,109 Armenians receiving exit permission was reduced to 88 by 1984. German emigration fell from 6,947 visas issued in 1979 to only 910 in 1984. Jewish emigration dropped from over 50,000 in 1979 to 896 in 1984.	25X <sup>.</sup>
In 1982 Soviet emigration officials began telling applicants that "Jewish emigration from the Soviet Union has come to an end." In 1983, apparently to publicize this decision, the authorities established the Anti-Zionist Committee of the Soviet Public. Soviet officials also began implying to foreign governments that emigration had ended, even as a "gesture." In April 1983, increase in Jewish emigration was unlikely because past Soviet experience with such gestures had been unsatisfactory.	25X 25X
Counterattack under Gorbachev	
With the accession of Gorbachev, the regime went on the offensive.	25X <sup>-</sup>

4

nbassy in Moscow also reported in Septet television or in the press on allegingrease.	ged US human rights violations was o
Strident criticism of US human rights (ly response to cases raised by the US	performance was <u>accompanied by a accompanied by a accompanied by a</u>
Gorbachev has personally taken the ofts and emigration policies. During a ressional delegation in September 1985 are to ratify various international humonth told French television that the aman rights. He even raised the issue human rights issueand said that he ring anywhere such political and other	meeting with a visiting US  5, he sharply criticized the US  4 man rights conventions, and later  5 USSR has nothing to be ashamed of  6 of Shcharanskiywhich he denied  6 would be glad to hear of Jews
Behind the bluster there have been he is lieutenants wanted to avoid the is S.	eavy handed warnings that Gorbachevessue altogether in discussions with
In July, for example, Arbatov told does not want to discuss the matter of in November.	that Gorbachev of Jewish emigration at the meeting
At the same time a Soviet diplomat in hoped that then newly appointed Forei encounter polemics on human rights vi Secretary of State.	on Minister Shevardnadze would not
In September, a senior official of th US on a pre-Geneva fact finding missi issue of Soviet Jewish emigration cou the meeting.	on, warned that the
In mid-October, a knowledgeable Sovie	t diplomat suggested Gorbachev d said that if the US insists on

	3/22 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0	25
		25
ts of Flexibility		
ghts, the regime ghats, the possibility of a change in each of the possibility of the possibility of the possibility of the provide for freer Soviet Jewish end of the possibility of	the same time, the State Bank chairman elations improve, emigration "would not ed on the interest of some in the work out an arrangement that would not	25
gration to Israel.		25
<u>Dialogue with the WJC.</u> icials, andwith Israel's blessings	iscussions in Moscow between Soviet sthe World Jewish Congress seemed to	25
gress through mid-July 1985.	Secured to	25
World Jewish Congress officials re dialogue, even though the Soviets hexpected.	eturned to Moscow in September to resume nad not fulfilled the promises the WJC	
expected.		25
		2
		25
		25
		25

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/22 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0	
•	25X
	25X
Despite the assurances, the Soviets have not yet taken any concrete steps to increase emigration. The regime has limited itself to easing restrictions on the Sakharovs. Sakharov and Bonner were allowed to call relatives in the United States and Bonner evidently will be allowed to go abroad for medical	
Shcharanskiy and other refuseniks may be freed soon.	25X
Israeli Interest. The Soviets have been able to engage Israeli support by playing on Israel'sand its intermediariesconcern about Soviet Jewish emigration and the parallel concern that Israel be the destination of any new	
Ami aration	25X
/Israel's Minister of	
Immigrant Absorption, Yaacov Tsur, has also articulated to US officials the	
Israeli interest in eliminating Soviet Jewish emigration to the US, pointing out that Jews lose US refugee status and cannot later go to the US if they	
choose Israel first.	25X
Nonetheless, it is still an open question whether behind the atmospherics	
there is real progress on the issue of Jewish emigration to Israel. Indeed.	
Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs officials and the public lobbies in Israel	
for Soviet Jewry are skeptical that the Soviets are prepared to be flexible on Jewish emigration. Last month, MFA officials responsible for Soviet affairs	
told US officials that they see no evidence to support hopes that there might	
be a significant increase in Soviet Jewish emigration. Apparently aware of MFA views, the Soviet regime has been dealing directly with the Prime	
Minister's office on the issue.	25X
Related Moves to Droject Elevibility	
Related Moves to Project Flexibility	
In the past week or so the Soviets have been dropping even more	
tantalizing hints that they are willing to resolve some of the more contentious human rights casesmany of which involve Soviet Jews. One	
example is the story that Yelena Bonner has been given permission to go abroad	
for medical treatment.	25X
	25X

25X1

# <u>Prospects</u>

Gorbachev probably sees some advantage in allowing direct emigration of greater numbers of Soviet Jews. Indeed, the recent levels of Soviet Jewish emigration are so low--about a thousand a year since 1980--that even a substantial increase would pale in comparison to the massive emigration of the 1970s.

Direct Soviet Jewish emigration to Israel might even be seen as a way to drive a wedge between different US and Israeli perspectives on emigration.

Gorbachev can also defuse the issue of emigration as a bilateral irritant by dealing with third partners.

At home, he can defuse the refusenik issue by holding out the promise of higher emigration in return for conformity while getting rid of others unwilling to conform. The regime has effectively used emigration in the past to divide and decapitate the dissident movement.

The regime might also calculate that greater emigration and some concessions on other human rights issues would disarm its international critics and allow Moscow, if necessary, to move more freely in the future.

So far, however, the Soviets have not yet moved ahead on anything more than symbolic gestures. Concern about the impact of such moves on Soviet-Arab relations is probably a factor. Moscow certainly understands that there would be strong protests against increased Jewish emigration to Israel from its Arab allies. Previous Soviet emigration policy suggest that, nonetheless, this is not an overriding concern. Gorbachev's uncertainty about the future of US-Soviet relations is probably a further reason. While he rejects direct US efforts to pressure him on this issue, Gorbachev may believe that US interest on emigration gives him some potential leverage. He undoubtedly assumes the issue will come up in his meeting with the President, and

is preparing to counterattack aggressively. He will also, however, likely use the occasion to assess what long term gains can be realized by showing more flexibility.

### EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

gach

- 1. Ambassador Matlock, Jr.
  Special Assistant to the President
  Senior Director, European and Soviet Affairs
  National Security Council
  Room 368, EOB
- Leo Moser
   Deputy Assistant Secretary
   Bureau of Human Rights and Humanitarian Affairs
   Room 7802
   Department of State
- 3. Mr. Mark Palmer
  Deputy Assistant Secretary of
  Bureau of European Affairs
  Room 6219
  Department of State
- 4. Mr. Robert Baraz
  Director, Office of Analysis for the
  Soviet Union and Western Europe
  INR
  Department of State
- 5. James Morrison
  Director, OASD/ISP/EUR/NATO Regional Policy
  Room 1D469, Pentagon
- Roland Kuchel Director, Office of Eastern European Affairs Room 5220 Department of State
- 7. Mark Parris
  Director, Office of Soviet Union Affairs
  Room 4217
  Department of State
- Lynn Pascoe
   Deputy Director, Office of Soviet Union Affairs
   Room 4217
   Department of State

- 9. Col. Tyrus Cobb Staff Member NSC Room 373, EOB
- 10. Don Graves INR/SEE/USSR Room 4844, Department of State
- 11. John Danylyk Chief, INR/EC/USSR Department of State . Room 8662, New State
- 12. Bill Courtney Special Assistant, Office of Under Secretary of State Room 7240, New State
- 13. Robert Ashdown Room 5923 Department of State

## Internal Distribution

```
1 - DCI
   2 - DDCI
   3 - SA/DCI
   4 - ED/DCI
   5 - Executive Registry
   6 - DDI
   7 - Senior Review Panel
8-13 - OCPAS/IMD/CB
  14 - Chairman/NIC
  15 - NIO/USSR-EE
  16 - NIO/SP
  17 - C/DDO/SE
  18 - C/DCD/PES
  19 - D/SOVA
  20 - DD/SOVA
  21 - C/SOVA/NIG
  22 - C/SOVA/NIG/EPD
  23 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD
  24 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/LP
  25 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/BF
  26 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/SI
  27 - C/SOVA/RIG
  28 - C/SOVA/RIG/EAD
  29 - C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD
  30 - C/SOVA/SIG
  31 - C/SOVA/SIG/SFD
  32 - C/SOVA/SIG/SPD
  33 - C/SOVA/DEIG
  34 - C/SOVA/DEIG/DEA
  35 - C/SOVA/DEIG/DID
  36 - C/PDB Staff
  37 - C/SOVA/CI
  38 - C/FBIS/AG
  39 - C/ACIS
  40 - ASG
  41 - AC/CRES
  42 - C/IPC
  43 - C/DDO
  44 - D/ALA
  45 - D/EURA
  46 - D/NESA
  47 - C/PES/MPS
  48 - D/OCPAS
 49 - D/OCR
 50 - D/OEA
 51 - D/OGI
 52 - AD/01A
 53 - D/OSWR
```

54 - NIC/AG